

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_113087
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability studies in yellow seeded linseed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. The subject of the manuscript is important.</p> <p>2. The title is very general. It should be more specific.</p> <p>3 - The abstract is comprehensive but, it is very incomplete. It does not indicate the importance of this research and not make clear the methodology of the study. To tell about the results there are many details. I think that the conclusion should be written, resuming the results.</p> <p>4 – Almost al the sections that an article should have are listed in the manuscript (excepted the concusion, that is absent), but they are not properly developed. The results do not compare the results obtained to other literature data.</p> <p>5 – I don't think this maundcript is scientifically correct. The experimental part is incomplete. It does not describe the experimental part. It only says Where the study takes place, but it does not say how the experiment had been done.</p> <p>introduction should inform how the genetical studies cam contribute to the crops. The introduction talk about the importance of the Linum usitatissimum L, but does not make a link between this information and how genetics could improve the linssed crops. The introduction does not cite if some genetical determinations about this plant has been done before.</p> <p>6 – There are references in text that are not cited in references. Ther aren't references after 2019. It is important that some references could be 5 years old or less.</p>	<p>OKAY</p> <p>NOTED</p> <p>OKAY</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	OKAY
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is not scientifically correct.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	